



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/982,635	10/18/2001	Mark R. Maguire	MAC0002.US	8588

7590 03/11/2004

Todd T. Taylor  
TAYLOR & AUST, P.C.  
142 S. Main St.  
P.O. Box 560  
Avilla, IN 46710

EXAMINER
----------

ROWAN, KURT C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3643

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/982,635

Applicant(s)

MAGUIRE ET AL.

Examiner

Kurt Rowan

Art Unit

3643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12-15-2003
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 3-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-13, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bahn in view of Patterson.

The patents to Bahn and Peterson show fishing rods and have been discussed in the last Office Action. Bahn shows a fishing pole 1, having a first flexibility, with line guides 4, 8 mounted on an external surface of the pole. Bahn shows a fishing line containment apparatus 9 mounted through at least two line guides. Bahn shows an elongated tube 9 with a second flexibility but does not disclose that the second flexibility is greater than the first flexibility. The patent to Patterson shows a fishing pole 1 with a plurality of line guides 4 that has a first flexibility. Patterson shows two captivating devices 10, 11. The captivating devices are inherently removable. Patterson shows a fishing line containment apparatus 5 with an elongate tube 6, made from a spring, having a second flexibility. As shown in Fig. 1, from the amount of deflection of the rod 1 compared to the deflection of the elongate tube 6, it is clear that the elongate tube has a greater flexibility than that of the rod 1. In reference to claims 1, 10, and 18, it would have been obvious to provide Bahn with an elongate tube as shown by Patterson since merely one elongate tube is being substituted for another and the function is the same. Providing

Bahn with the elongate tube shown by Patterson would provide an adjustable tube as disclosed by Patterson in column 2, line 44 to column 3, line 3. In reference to claims 3 and 11, Patterson shows a flexible tube. In reference to claims 4 and 12, Patterson shows the elongate tube being made from metal. In reference to claims 5 and 13, Patterson shows a coiled spring. In reference to claim 8, 16, 19, Bahn shows a lead line 14. In reference to claims 9 and 17, Bahn discloses a fishing reel but does not show the line containment apparatus extending to the reel. However, it would have been obvious to extend the tube to the reel since changes in size are obvious. See In re Rose, 105 USPQ 137. Further, extending the tube to the reel would prevent line tangles. In reference to claim 20, Bahn shows the elongate tube positioned along side the exterior surface of the fishing rod.

3. Claims 6-7 and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bahn in view of Patterson as applied to claims 1 and 10 above, and further in view of Tsurufuji.

The patents to Bahn and Patterson show fishing rods with elongate tubes mounted on the rods as discussed above. Patterson shows line-captivating devices, but does not disclose annular rings on an exterior surface. The patent to Tsurufuji shows a fishing rod having a two captivating devices 16, 24, each comprising a ferrule. Tsurufuji shows annular rings in Fig. 2 on the exterior surface and having a shoulder HG for annular ring 24 and a shoulder G9 for annular ring 16. In reference to claims 6 and 14, it would have been obvious to provide the fishing rod of Bahn as modified by Patterson with captivating devices as shown by Tsurufuji since merely one captivating device is being

Art Unit: 3643

substituted for another and the function is the same. In reference to claims 7 and 15, Tsurufuji shows the diameter of the shoulder to be somewhat greater than the diameter of line guides G1, G2. The greater diameter of the ferrule shoulder would reduce wear on the fishing line.

***Response to Arguments***

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is 703 308-2321. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Kurt Rowan  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3643

KR